

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM
Approved Not Required 2005/04/27 CIA RDP77M00144R001100160018-7
CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS
1	Exec Officee/DDA		- 7 AUG 1975	<i>BS</i>
2	- OLC			
3				
4				
5				
6				
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	

Remarks:

HAND CARRY

DUE TO OLC BY COB 7 AUG 1975

1. believes you may wish to
review our comments.

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE

Exee Asst to D/Pers 7 AUG 1975

Approved Not Required 2005/04/27 CIA RDP77M00144R001100160018-7
CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:		EXTENSION	NO.
Chief, OP/SAS 401 Magazine		<input type="text"/>	DATE 7 August 1975 STAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	
1. OP/EA 5E58 HO Attn: <input type="text"/>	7 AUG 1975	<i>PP</i>	<p>Bob,</p> <p>The attached bill, if approved, would represent a tremendous improvement compared with the very confused situation which now exists. As you can see, the proposed bill establishes terms of reference and prescribes delegations of authority entirely lacking in the original bill.</p> <p>Proposed Section 459b indicates the authority for promulgating implementing regulations. As far as the Agency is concerned, possibly the Director might wish to request from the Chairman of the Civil Service Commission authority to issue his own regulations governing this matter. I suspect that this provision was incorporated in the draft bill largely to accommodate the military departments, which, according to the newspapers several weeks ago, have gone ahead and issued their own regulations on this matter. With such authority from the Civil Service Commission (pursuant to this bill), an Agency regulation setting forth a simplified procedure might serve our purpose.</p>
2. <i>SD Pa</i>	7 AUG 1975	<i>dag</i>	
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			

UNCLASSIFIED INTERNAL USE ONLY CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/04/27 : CIA-RDP77M00144R001100160018-7

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM: Legislative Counsel
7D35 HQ

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

5 August 1975

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED FORWARDER

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Director of Personnel
5E13 HQ

6 AUG 1975

2.

DIP

3.

CISAS

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

The attached draft bill and list of "Payments Possibly Subject to Section 459" represents the Administration's attempts to clarify Section 459 of the Social Security Act Amendments of 1974 which subjected federal employees to garnishment proceedings for alimony and child support obligations. The Justice Department has drafted the bill to completely replace the present Section. Regulations will also be issued and these, we hope, will consider the Agency's more parochial interests. OMB wants to move rapidly on the bill, and we have been asked to comment on the Justice Department bill by August 7th. I believe I can stretch our response to Monday, August 11th, but need your views on the draft bill by COB August 7th. Please relay your views to me verbally STAT

Thank you. STAT

Assistant Legislative Counsel

122

Should be hand carried

for STAT

Response K